



# Advanced management and control in 5G sliced networks

#### Eugen Borcoci University Politehnica Bucharest Electronics, Telecommunications and Information Technology Faculty (ETTI) Eugen.Borcoci@elcom.pub.ro





#### Acknowledgement

- This overview and analysis is compiled and structured, based on several public documents like: conferences material, studies, research papers, standards, projects, overviews, tutorials, etc. (see specific references in the text and Reference list).
- The selection and structuring of the material belongs to the author.
- Given the extension of the topics, this presentation is limited to a high level overview only, mainly on architectural aspects.



## Advanced management and control in 5G sliced networks



- Motivation of this talk
  - The 5G (fifth generation): new generation of mobile networks to support dedicated use-cases and providing a large range of services to satisfy various customer demands.
  - 4G concept: "one-fit-all" type-architecture
  - while 5G supports
    - diverse business demands with different requirements and separation between logical slices
    - service innovation and programmability through softwarization, open sources and open interfaces that allow access to third parties
  - Driving forces for 5G: IoT, smart cities, industry, governance, IoV/automotive, safety/emergency, entertainment, environment, etc.,
  - Many R&D projects related to different areas of 5G
  - Standardization/fora organizations strongly involved
    - NGNM, 3GPP, 5GPPP, ETSI, ITU-T, GSMA, BBF, ONF, IETF, IEEE, etc.



## Advanced management and control in 5G sliced networks



#### Motivation of this talk (cont'd)

- 5G Network slicing major concept, allowing resource sharing (w. logical isolation) among multiple tenants or network operators and/or services in multi-domain context
- 5G slicing requirements : multi-tenant, End-to-end (E2E), multidomain, multi-provider/operator context → many open research issues and challenges

#### Management and control aspects

- Service/data model & mapping in a single or multi-domain environment
- Slice stitching / composition in a single domain and cross-domain Coordination
- E2E network orchestration
- Network slice life cycle management
- Customizable M&C for different tenants
- Network slice monitoring and dynamic updating
- Autonomic and cognitive slice management and operation
- Seamless deployment , integrating 3G, 4G, …
- ....





- 1. 5G Network slicing concepts, use cases and requirements
- 2. 5G slicing relevant architectures
- 3. Management, orchestration and control
- 4. Advanced cognitive management
- 5. Conclusions and research challenges





- SG Network slicing concepts, use cases and requirements
- 2. 5G slicing relevant architectures
- 3. Management, orchestration and control
- 4. Advanced cognitive management
- 5. Conclusions and research challenges





- 1.1 5G general aspects
- Three views/sets-of-requirements for 5G
  - user-centric (uninterrupted connectivity and communication services, smooth consumer experience)
  - service-provider-centric (connected intelligent systems, multi-tenant, multi-domain capabilities, large area of IoT services, critical monitoring/tracking services)
  - network-operator-centric (scalable, energy-efficient, low-cost, efficiently managed, programmable, and secure - communication infrastructure)
- 5G: evolution of mobile broadband networks + new unique network and service capabilities:
  - It will ensure user experience continuity in various situations
    - high mobility (e.g. in trains)
    - very dense or sparsely populated areas
    - regions covered by heterogeneous technologies
- **5G** key enabler for the Internet of Things, M2M





#### 1.1 5G general aspects

#### **5G Key technological characteristics**

- Heterogeneous set of integrated air interfaces Cellular and satellite solutions
- Simultaneous use of different Radio Access Technologies (RAT)
  - Seamless (vertical) handover between heterogeneous RATs
- Ultra-dense networks with numerous small cells
  - Need new interference mitigation, backhauling and installation techniques
- **Driven by SW** 
  - unified OS in a number of PoPs, especially at the network edge
- To achieve the required performance, scalability and agility it will rely on
  - Software Defined Networking (SDN)
  - Network Functions Virtualization (NFV)
  - Cloud/Mobile Edge Computing (MEC) /Fog Computing (FC)
- **Optimized network management** operations, through
  - cognitive features
  - advanced automation of operation through proper algorithms
  - Data Analytics and Big Data techniques -> monitor the users' QoE





#### 1.1 5G general aspects

Network softwarization: represents sets of functions assuring programmability of

- network devices
- network functions (NF)- virtual or physical
- network slices logical, on demand, customized networks
- network services and applications
- architectural planes: data/user, control, management
- Shift from network of entities, to network of (virtual) functions /capabilities.
  - NFs become units of networking
- Separation of concerns between
  - control/ management/ softwarization/ services
  - logical / physical resources functions (connectivity, computing and storage) and network capabilities
- On demand composition of NFs and network capabilities
- Develop network softwarization capabilities in all network segments and network components.

See: A.Galis, 5G Architecture Viewpoints H2020 5G PPP Infrastructure Association July 2016, August 2017, https://5g-ppp.eu/white-papers/





- 1.2 5G Key Specific Requirements
- Summary of 5G figures strong goals:
  - 1,000 X in mobile data volume per geographical area reaching a target ≥ 10 Tb/s/km2
  - 1,000 X in number of connected devices reaching a density ≥ 1M terminals/km2
  - 100 X in user data rate reaching a peak terminal data rate ≥ 10Gb/s
  - 1/10 X in energy consumption compared to 2010
  - 1/5 X in E2E latency reaching 5 ms for e.g. tactile Internet and radio link latency reaching a target ≤ 1 ms, e.g. for Vehicle to Vehicle (V2V) communication
  - 1/5 X in network management OPEX
  - 1/1,000 X in service deployment time, reaching a complete deployment in ≤ 90 minutes





#### **1.3 5G Generic Architecture**

- multi-tier arch.: small-cells, mobile small-cells, and D2D- and Cognitive Radio Network (CRN) DR-OC - Device relaying with operator controlled link establishment

  - DC-OC Direct D2D communication with operator controlled link establishment
  - DR-DC Device relaying with device controlled link establishment

DC-DC - Direct D2D communication with device controlled link establishment



Source: Panwar N., Sharma S., Singh A. K., A Survey on 5G: The Next Generation of Mobile Communication'. Accepted in Elsevier Physical Communication, 4 Nov 2015, http://arxiv.org/pdf/1511.01643v1.pdf



#### **1.4 5G Layered Functional Architecture** Key 5G use cases and their requirements **Generic layered architecture** - difficult for a traditional unique arch to meet all High level representation of them dedicated slicing can be the solution Verticals Enterprise Third party Operators Data rate Reliability Management and orchestration (MANO) User data rate Service layer Control plane User plane Mapping Configuration Life cycle Traffic density Spectrum efficiency functions functions Network function layer Radio Power efficiency Connection density (Edge) Core Allocation Control access cloud network network Infrastructure layer Mobility Latency Machine-to-machine Critical communications Mobile broadband

Source: X. Foukas, G. Patounas, A.Elmokashfi, and M.K. Marina, Network Slicing in 5G: Survey and Challenges, IEEE Communications Magazine, May 2017, pp.94-100





#### 1.5 4G versus 5G concepts



**MBB** - Mobile Broadband;

**LTE** - Long Term Evolution (4G);

**V2X** - vehicle to X ; **CNF**- Core Network Functions;

**SMS** - Short Messages service; **EPC**- Evolved Packet Core **RNF**- RAN network Functions



#### **1.6 Network slicing concepts**

- E2E concept: covering all network segments : radio, access/edge, wire, core, transport and edge networks.
- concurrent deployment of multiple E2E logical, self-contained and independent shared or partitioned networks on a common infrastructure platform
- Slices
  - created on demand, running on a common underlying (P/V) network, mutually isolated with independent M&C
  - composition of adequately configured NFs, network apps., and the underlying cloud infrastructure (PHY/virtual/ emulated resources, etc.)
  - resources are bundled together to meet specific UC reqs. (e.g., bandwidth, latency, processing, resiliency) coupled with a business purpose
- SDN and NFV support technologies providing virtualization, programmability, flexibility, and modularity to create multiple network slices each tailored for a given UC



#### 1.6 Network slicing concepts (cont'd)

5G slicing generic example



Source: J. Ordonez-Lucena, P. Ameigeiras, D. Lopez, J.J. Ramos-Munoz, J. Lorca, J. Folgueira, Network "Slicing for 5G with SDN/NFV: Concepts, Architectures and Challenges", IEEE Communications Magazine, 2017, Citation information: DOI 10.1109/MCOM.2017.1600935



#### 1.7 Standardisation work oriented to slicing

- European Telecom Std. Institute (ETSI) –Next Generation Protocols (NGP) Technology independent approach to slicing
  - ETSI- Network Function Virtualization (NFV) studies on SDN and NFV support for slices
- 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) contributions on RAN, Services and architectures, Core networks and terminals, Mgmt. and orchestration
- **5G-PPP** details the roles and relationships between different parts of the 5G
- network.
- Next Generation Mobile Networks (NGMN) –Slicing concept for 5G with IMT2020
- Int'l Telecom Union (ITU-T) Works on Slices in IMT-2020, SG13 and SG15: management & transport aspects; alignment with 5G
- Open Networking Foundation (ONF), Broadband Forum (BBF)
- Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) focused more on fixed network and management of network slicing
- GSM Association (GSMA)- business aspects, use cases, etc.



#### 1.7 Standardisation effort oriented to slicing (cont'd)



Source: GSMA, Network Slicing, - Use Cases and Requirements , April 2018





#### 1.8Terminology summary

For more details see also Backup slides BS1

- Networking Servicing terms
  - Service, Network Service (NS), Service Instance
  - Administrative domain (AD)
  - Infrastructure domain
  - Tenant: one or more service users sharing access to a set of P/V resources or service resources
  - Multi-tenancy: P/V or service resources are allocated to multiple tenants
  - Tenant domain: provides combinations of VNFs into Network Services, and is responsible for their M&O including functional configuration and maintenance at application level
  - **Trust domain:** collection of entities that share a set of security policies

See:. L. Geng , et.al., IETF- "Network Slicing Architecture draft-geng-netslices-architecture-02", 2017 ETSI GS NFV 003 V1.3.1 (2018-01) Network Functions Virtualisation (NFV); Terminology for Main Concepts in NFV



1.8 Terminology summary (cont'd)

#### Network Resources

- Resource, Logical Resource
- Virtual Resource An abstraction of a P/L resource, maybe with different characteristics and extended capabilities w.r.t the original
- Network Function (PNF/VNF)
- Network Element (NE)

#### Network Slicing Terms

Resource Slice - A grouping of P/V (network, compute, storage) resources

#### Network Slice (NSL)

- a managed group of subsets of resources, NFs/VNFs at the DPI, CPI, M/OPI, and services at a given time
- programmable and having the ability to expose its capabilities
- the NS behaviour is realized via network slice instance(s)





### 1.8Terminology summary (cont'd)

- Network Slicing Terms
  - End-to-end NSL (E2E-NSL) A cross-domain customized NS
    - it may consist of access (fixed or cellular), transport (mobile) core networks, etc.
  - Network Slice Instance (NSLI) An activated NSL, created based on network template
    - complete instantiated logical network to meet the reqs. of the service instance(s)
    - set of managed run-time NFs and resources to run them;
    - may be shared across multiple service instances provided by the NO
  - Network Slice Tenant (NSTn) is the user of specific NSLIs, who offer specific services to end customers
    - The operators' customers (e.g., vertical industries) or the operators themselves. They utilize the NSLIs to provide services to their end users
    - Tenants may have independent O&M reqs., uniquely applicable to the NSLIs
    - NSTn-s can request the creation of new NSLI
    - The NSP usually grants some management capability to NSTn

```
NexComm 2019 Conference, March 24 - 28, Valencia
```





### 1.8 Terminology summary (cont'd)

- Network Slicing Terms
  - Network Slice Provider (NSP) typically is a telecom SrvP; is the owner or tenant of the network infrastructures (NI) from which network slices are created
    - The NSP manages and orchestrates the resources supporting NS
  - Network Slice Terminal (NST) A terminal NS-aware, typically subscribed to the service hosted by NSI.
    - It may subscribe to several NSIs simultaneously
  - Network Slice Repository (NSRp)- in each domain; it consists of a list of active NSes with their identifiers and description
    - This description defines also the access rules to a slice
    - NSRp is updated by slice orchestrator
    - If recursive slicing, then NSRp keeps info about all slices that compose a higher level slice but such a slice has its own identifier and descriptors





#### 1.9 Business model (actors)- variant of definition

- Infrastructure Provider (InP)— owner of the PHY infrastructure (network/cloud/data center) and lease them to operators
  - It can become an SLP if it leases the infrastructure in slicing fashion
- Slice Provider (SLP) typically a telecom SP, owner or tenant of the infrastructures from which network slices can be created
- Slice Tenant (ST) the user of specific network/cloud/data centre slice, hosting customized services
  - STs can request creation of new slice through a service model
  - ST can lease virtual resources from one or more SLP in the form of a virtual network, where the tenant can realize, manage and provide network services to its users
  - A network service is a composition of NFs, and it is defined
    - in terms of the individual NFs
    - $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$  and the  $\boldsymbol{mechanism}$  used to  $\boldsymbol{connect}$  them
- End user: consumes (part of) the services supplied by the tenant, without providing them to other business actors.

See A.Galis and K.Makhijani, Network Slicing Landscape: A holistic architectural approach, orchestration and management with applicbility in mobile and fixed networks and clouds, v1.0, Network Slicing Tutorial – IEEE NetSoft 2018 – Montreal 29th June2018.



#### 1.9 Business model (actors)-example



Source: J. Ordonez-Lucena, P. Ameigeiras, D. Lopez, J.J. Ramos-Munoz, J. Lorca, J. Folgueira, Network "Slicing for 5G with SDN/NFV: Concepts, Architectures and Challenges", IEEE Communications Magazine, 2017



#### 1.10 Categories of 5G fundamental scenarios

- Massive machine type communication (mMTC)
- Ultra reliability low latency communication (URLLC)
- Enhanced mobile broadband (eMBB)
- different requirements on 5G: functional (e.g. priority, charging, policies, security, and mobility) and performance (e.g. latency, mobility, availability, reliability and data rates) -→ dedicated slices can be constructed

Characteristics	mMTC	URLLC	eMBB
Availability	Regular	Very High	Regular (baseline)
E2E latency	Not highly sensitive	Extremely sensitive	Not highly sensitive
Throughput type	Low	Low/med/high	Medium
Frequency of Xfers	Low	High	High
Density	High	Medium	High
Network coverage	Full	Localized	Full

Source: End to End Network Slicing – White paper 3 Outlook 21, Wireless World, Nov 2017 NexComm 2019 Conference, March 24 - 28, Valencia

# 2. 5G slicing concepts, characteristics and use cases



#### 1.11 Use-cases family and category per 3GPP and NGMN



Source: NGMN 5G WHITE PAPER, NGMN Alliance, white paper, https://www.ngmn.org/uploads/media/NGMN\_5G\_White\_Paper\_V1\_0.pdf, Feb. 2015.





- 1.12 Summary of Network Slices key requirements
- User/tenant related
  - dedicated logical networks built on demand, on an common infrastructure (connectivity, storage, computing- but not limited to these)
  - independent and self-contained, partitioned NFs and resources on a shared infrastructure
  - supporting at least one service at a given time, guaranteed service level
  - fast service/network deployment
  - cost effective
  - customizable NSes ( due to SDN and NFV support) and powerful M&C of the network resources
  - provide an abstraction capability by the creation of logically or physically isolated groups of network resources and VNF configurations

See:. L. Geng , et.a;., IETF- "Network Slicing Architecture draft-geng-netslices-architecture-02", 2017 A.Galis and K.Makhijani, Network Slicing Landscape: A holistic architectural approach, orchestration and management with applicbility in mobile and fixed networks and clouds, v1.0, Network Slicing Tutorial – IEEE NetSoft 2018 – Montreal 29th June2018. NexComm 2019 Conference, March 24 - 28, Valencia



1.12 Summary of Network Slices key requirements (cont'd)

- User/tenant related
  - dynamic multi-service support, multi-domain, multi-tenant (independent of infrastructure) E2E – capable, possible integration of various market verticals
  - one NS may consist of several cross-domain components
    - from separate domains in the same or different admin.
    - or components applicable to the access/transport/core/ edge networks
  - NS creation: on demand, dynamically and have non-disruptive reprovisioning capabilities
    - concurrently deployed with isolation guarantees

#### NSs must be configurable and programmable; possible negotiation of parameters

See:. L. Geng , et.a;., IETF- "Network Slicing Architecture draft-geng-netslices-architecture-02", 2017 A.Galis and K.Makhijani, Network Slicing Landscape: A holistic architectural approach, orchestration and management with applicbility in mobile and fixed networks and clouds, v1.0, Network Slicing Tutorial – IEEE NetSoft 2018 – Montreal 29th June2018. NexComm 2019 Conference, March 24 - 28, Valencia





- 1.12 Summary of Network Slices key requirements (cont'd)
- NSL Management and control
  - embedded mgmt. concept, including coordination/ orchestration of NFs and resources
  - managed group of subsets of resources, PNF/VNF at the D/U, C, M/O Planes
  - automation of
    - network operation, LCM of network slicing (create, deploy, change, delete) and auto-healing
  - optimization of resources (auto-scaling/migration)
  - efficient cooperation between Mgmt and Data Planes
  - NSL is seen by an operator as a complete network infrastructure and uses part of the network resources
- Scalability- high: many slices (hundreds), large communities of customers (millions)
- Reliability- high : redundancy, isolation, fault detection and repair

See:. L. Geng , et.a;., IETF- "Network Slicing Architecture draft-geng-netslices-architecture-02", 2017 A.Galis and K.Makhijani, Network Slicing Landscape: A holistic architectural approach, orchestration and management with applicbility in mobile and fixed networks and clouds, v1.0, Network Slicing Tutorial – IEEE NetSoft 2018 – Montreal 29th June2018. NexComm 2019 Conference, March 24 - 28, Valencia



#### 1.12 Summary of Network Slices key requirements (cont'd)

- Business and network operator/ISP
- NSL should support
  - open possibility of new business models (e.g. due to E2E NSes)
  - industrial companies can use NSs as a part of their own services
  - reduced operations expenditures (OPEX)
  - programmability allows to enrich the offered services
  - OTT providers and other market players can use NSLs without changing the PHY infrastructure
  - to simplify the provisioning of services, manageability, integration and operation
  - to create a layer of abstraction by the creation of L/P isolated groups of network resources and VNFs
  - isolation, orchestration and separation of logical network behaviors from the underlying PHY network resources.

See:. L. Geng , et.a;., IETF- "Network Slicing Architecture draft-geng-netslices-architecture-02", 2017 A.Galis and K.Makhijani, Network Slicing Landscape: A holistic architectural approach, orchestration and management with applicbility in mobile and fixed networks and clouds, v1.0, Network Slicing Tutorial – IEEE NetSoft 2018 – Montreal 29th June2018. NexComm 2019 Conference, March 24 - 28, Valencia





- 1. 5G Network slicing concepts, use cases and requirements
- **2. (b) 5G slicing relevant architectures**
- 3. Management, orchestration and control
- 4. Advanced cognitive management
- 5. Conclusions and research challenges





- 2.1 Generic slicing architecture with SDN and NFV support
- Potential solution scenarios for support of multiple slices per UE



Source: G. Nencioni et al., Orchestration and Control in Software-Defined 5G Networks: Research Challenges, Wiley, Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing Volume 2018, Article ID 6923867, pp. 1-19, https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/6923867https://www.hindawi.com/journals/wcmc/2018/6923867/ NexComm 2019 Conference, March 24 - 28, Valencia





#### **2.2 Slicing variants** 3GPP, TR 23.799 V14.0.0 (2016-12)

- Potential solution scenarios for support of multiple slices per UE
  - Three groups of solutions defined by 3GPP-for Core Network (CN) slicing
  - Group A the UE gets services from different NSLs and different CN instances
    - (+) easiest logical separation/isolation between the CN instances
    - independent subscription management/mobility management for each network slice handling the UE
    - (-) potential side effects of additional signalling in the network and over the air
  - Group B some NFs are common between the NSLs, while other functions reside in individual NSLs
  - Group C the Control Plane is common between the slices, while the User plane(s) (UPI/DPI) are handled as different NSLs

See: 3GPP, TR 23.799 V14.0.0 (2016-12), Study on Architecture for Next Generation System (Release 14) NexComm 2019 Conference, March 24 - 28, Valencia





#### 2.2 Slicing variants (cont'd) 3GPP, TR 23.799 V14.0.0 (2016-12)

Potential solution scenarios for support of multiple slices per UE (cont'd): Three groups of solutions defined by 3GPP



Source: 3GPP, TR 23.799 V14.0.0 (2016-12), Study on Architecture for Next Generation System (Release 14) NexComm 2019 Conference, March 24 - 28, Valencia







Source: 5G Americas, Network Slicing for 5G Networks & Services, 2016, http://www.5gamericas.org/files/3214/7975/0104/5G\_Americas\_Network\_Slicing\_11.21\_Final.pdf





#### 2.2 Slicing variants (cont'd)

- Previous slide: Flexible architecture for inter-connection between UEs, the Network Slices and Network Slice subnets
  - Flexible interconnection between RAN/Fixed\_access slices and CN slices
    - via slice pairing function
      - between RAN and CN
      - between radio PHY and RAN
    - PHY can also be sliced
  - The same UE can connect simultaneously to several different slices
  - RAN and CN slices are customised (e.g., CN-MBB, CN-MVNO, CN-IoT)
  - A given type of NF can be used in several different slice instances
    - NFs can be appropriately chained cf. NFV technologies
  - The same Network Slice Subnet Instance (NSSI) can be shared by several Network Slice Instances (NSLI)





NF8

NF9

One NSSI can contribute to several NSLIs

#### 2.2 Slicing variants - examples

End to End services provided by NSLI(s)



Source: 3GPP TR28.801 V15.1.0 (2018-01), Study on management and orchestration of network slicing for next generation network, (Release 15)




## 2.3 ETSI and 3GPP functional architectures for slicing support Network slice management (NSM) in NFV framework



Source: ETSI GR NFV-EVE 012 V3.1.1 (2017-12), Release 3; NFV Evolution and Ecosystem; Report on Network Slicing Support with ETSI NFV Architecture Framework



## 2. 5G slicing relevant architectures



2.3 ETSI and 3GPP functional architectures for slicing support

- Network slice management (NSM) in NFV framework (cont'd)
- Three layered functions related to NS mgmt.
  - Communication Service Management Function (CSMF): translates the communication service requirements to NSL requirements
    - I/F with (NSMF)
  - Network Slice Management Function (NSMF) mgmt. (including lifecycle) of NSLIs. It derives NS subnet requirements from the NSL related requirements
    - I/F with NSSMF and the CSMF.
  - Network Slice Subnet Management Function (NSSMF) mgmt (including lifecycle) of NSSIs.
    - I/F with the NSMF.
- The **Os-Ma-NFVO Reference Point** (RP) is the I/F with NFV-MANO.
- The NSMF and/or NSSMF have to determine
  - the type of NSL or set of NSLs, VNF and PNF that can support the resource requirements for a NSLI or NSSI
  - and analyze if existing instances can be re-used, else need to create new instances of these NSLs, VNFs and the connectivity to the PNFs

See ETSI GR NFV-EVE 012 V3.1.1 (2017-12), Release 3; NFV Evolution and Ecosystem; Report on Network Slicing Support with ETSI NFV Architecture Framework

## 2. 5G slicing relevant architectures-examples



### 3.2 ETSI and 3GPP functional architectures for slicing support

- Network slice management in NFV framework (cont'd)
- General example of network slicing management functions



Source: 3GPP TR28.801 V15.1.0 (2018-01), Study on management and orchestration of network slicing for next generation network, (Release 15)





### 2.3 ETSI and 3GPP functional architectures for slicing support 5G slicing layered architecture - 5GPPP vision



Source: 5GPPP Architecture Working Group, View on 5G Architecture, Version 2.0, December 2017



## 2. 5G slicing relevant architectures



### 2.3 5G Layered Architecture - 5GPPP vision (cont'd)



semantic would be rather - "plane"

Source: 5GPPP Architecture Working Group, View on 5G Architecture, Version 2.0, December 2017



## 2. 5G slicing relevant architectures-examples



### 2.3 5G Layered Architecture - 5GPPP vision (cont'd)

- Previous slide:
- Architecture based on ETSI-NFV and SDN
- Service layer includes
  - Apps. and services operated by the tenant (includes the E2E orchestration system)
  - Business Support Systems (BSSs); Business-level Policy and Decision functions
- Management and Orchestration layer
  - Service Management (i.e. services offered by the slices)
  - Software-Defined Mobile Network Orchestrator (SDM-O)
    - Inter-slice resource Broker (handles cross-slice resource allocation)
    - ETSI NFV (Mgmt. and Orchestration) MANO higher level functions (NFVO)
    - Domain specific application manager (e.g., 3GPP Net Mng)
  - ETSI NFV MANO lower level functions (VIM, VNF Manager)
- Control layer
  - Software-Defined Mobile Network Coordinator (SDM-X) inter-slice
  - Software-Defined Mobile Network Controller (SDM-C) intra-slice
  - other control applications
- Data layer VNFs and PNFs needed to carry and process the user data traffic
- Auxiliary: Multi-Domain Network Operating System Facilities
  - different adaptors and network abstractions above the networks and clouds heterogeneous fabrics

See: 5GPPP Architecture Working Group , View on 5G Architecture, Version 2.0, December 2017



## 3. 5G slicing general architectures



#### 3.3 IETF Slicing architecture



Source: IETF Network Slicing Architecture draft-geng-netslices-architecture-02, 2017





- 1. 5G Network slicing concepts, use cases and requirements
- 2. 5G slicing relevant architectures
- **3.** Management, orchestration and control
- 4. Advanced cognitive management
- 5. Conclusions and research challenges





### **3.1** General Requirements/Objectives for 5G Slicing Network Management

- On demand slices
- Multi-tenant, multi-domain, multi-operator, E2E slices
- The Network Slice as a Service
- Management of Network (Virtual) Functions (LCM)
- Scalable solutions (horizontal and vertical)
- Quality of Service control and assurance
- Flexibility (resource allocation optimization, slice scaling, ..)
- Sustainability (including energy management)
- Context Awareness
- Security (including slice isolation)
- Flexible business model
- Open system (new components added)





### **3.2 Network slice instance life- cycle**

- Functions provided by the NSM system in several phases for a NSLI life cycle
  - Preparation phase
  - Instantiation, Configuration and Activation phase
  - Run-time phase
  - Decommissioning phase



- Details:
  - Preparation phase (the NSLI does not exist yet)
    - creation and verification the feasibility of NSL template(s)
    - preparation of the necessary network environment to support the NSLIs lifecycle (e.g., provisioning databases)

See: End to End Network Slicing – White paper 3 Outlook 21, Wireless World, Nov 2017





### 3.2 Network slice instance life- cycle (cont'd)

Functions provided by the NSM system in several phases for a NSLI life cycle

- Instantiation / configuration
  - It can include instantiation, configuration and activation of various shared and/or non-shared NFs
  - All resources shared/dedicated to the NSLI are created and configured, i.e. to a state where the NSLI is ready for operation
  - Activation : makes the NSLI active, e.g. diverting traffic to it

### Run-time phase

- NSLI handles traffic to support services of certain type(s)
- Supervision/reporting (e.g. for KPI monitoring)
- Modification could be: upgrade, reconfiguration, NSI scaling, Possible changes of NSLI capacity, changes of NSLI topology, association and disassociation of NFs with NSLI

### Decommissioning phase

- **Deactivation** (taking the NSLI out of active duty)
- Release the dedicated resources (e.g. termination or re-use of NFs) and configuration of shared/dependent resources
- Finally, the NSLI does not exist anymore





### 3.3 Generic service management and network slice control



Ibrahim Afolabi, Tarik Taleb, Konstantinos Samdanis, Adlen Ksentini, and Hannu Flinck, Network Slicing and Softwarization: A Survey on Principles, Enabling Technologies, and Solutions IEEE COMMUNICATIONS SURVEYS & TUTORIALS, VOL. 20, NO. 3, THIRD QUARTER 2018 2429





3.3 Generic service management and network slice control

- Plane roles
- Service management plane- performs service operations
  - abstraction, negotiation, admission control and charging for verticals and 3rd parties
  - service creation if admission control accepts the slice request
    - AC input parameters: {slice reqs., slice templates available}
  - The desired service combines
    - VNFs, PNFs, value added services,
    - data/control plane, and security mechanisms,
    - and exposes them to the underlying network
- Network slice management and control plane
  - provides resource abstraction to service management
  - handles NSL resource management & control plane operations, including
    - instantiation of the slice resources based on the service mapping
    - performance maintenance via monitoring, analysis and slice reconfiguration procedures
    - slice selection, attachment and support for multi-slice connectivity





### 3.3 Generic service management and network slice control (cont'd)

- *Actions* (related to previous slide)
  - (1) Network Slice M&C provides resource abstraction to service management
  - (2-3) abstraction, negotiation, admission control and charging for verticals and 3rd parties
  - (4-5) service creation after a slice request is accepted by AC
  - (6) Srv. Mgmt provides all information to network slice M&C plane
  - (7) Net M&C instantiates the slice resources based on service mapping
  - (8) Net M&C performs slice selection, attachment and support for multi-slice connectivity
  - (9) Net M&C performance maintenance via monitoring, analysis and slice re-configuration procedures



### **3.4 Orchestration concepts**

- Orchestration key process for network slicing
  - General definition : bringing together and coordinating disparate things into a coherent whole
  - an orchestrator coordinates seemingly disparate network processes for creating, managing and delivering services
  - Open issue: unified vision and scope of orchestration- still in discussions
  - Open Network Foundation (ONF) definition/vision on orchestration
    - continuing process of selecting resources to fulfil client service demands in an optimal manner
    - optimization policies can be applied
      - to meet all the specific policies and SLAs associated with clients (e.g. tenants or end users)
    - "continuing" means that the available resources, service demands and optimization criteria may change in time





- **3.4 Orchestration concepts (cont'd)**
- ONF vision on Orchestration (cont'd)
  - orchestration is also a defining characteristic of an SDN controller
  - orchestrator functions include
    - client-specific service demand validation; resource configuration; event notification
- Open issues: in multi-domain network slicing orchestration cannot be performed by a single centralized entity. Reasons:
  - complexity and broad scope or orchestration tasks
  - need to preserve management independence of the domains
  - need to support the possibility of recursion
- Variant of proposals
  - Each virtualisation actor should have an orchestration entity
  - The entities should exchange information and delegate functionalities between them
    - to ensure that the services delivered at a certain abstraction layer
      - satisfy the required performance levels
      - with optimal resource utilisation





- 3.5 Network Slicing Management (NSM) Architectures
- Network slicing design and management principles in E2E context
- Separation of the NSL template (NST) design and the NSLI operation
  - The NST is created based on the network capability of each technical domain and a tenant's particular reqs - in the design phase
  - An NSLI is instantiated based on the NST- in the operation phase
- Multi-domain management: a given NSLI
  - can contain multiple technical domains
  - may involve multiple tech/admin domains belonging to different operators
- On-demand customization
  - This could be different within each technical domain
  - NSM system coordinator role during the process of NSL template design, O&M and NSLI deployment.
  - design schemes should balance architectural complexity versus simplicity/cost
- Common infrastructure among tenants from the same operator
  - It reduces the service time to market (TTM) and acquires higher resources utilization efficiency



### 3.5 Network Slicing Management (NSM) Architectures (cont'd)

General split of the management entities in a multi-domain context



Source: End to End Network Slicing – White paper 3 Outlook 21, Wireless World, Nov 2017



### 3.5 Network Slicing Management (NSM) Architectures (cont'd)

Single domain and multi-domain orchestration for NFV



#### VNFM- Virtual Network F8unction Manager VIM – Virtual Infrastructure Manager

Source: K.Katsalis, N.Nikaein, Andy Edmonds, Multi-Domain Orchestration for NFV: Challenges and Research Directions, <u>2016 15th International Conference on Ubiquitous Computing and Communications and 2016 International Symposium on Cyberspace and Security (IUCC-CSS)</u>, https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7828601



### **3.5 Network Slicing Management (NSM) Architectures** (cont'd) A multi-domain orchestration architecture based on NFV- Example 1



Source: K.Katsalis, N.Nikaein, Andy Edmonds, Multi-Domain Orchestration for NFV: Challenges and Research Directions, 2016 15th International Conference on Ubiquitous Computing and Communications and 2016 International Symposium on Cyberspace and Security (IUCC-CSS), https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7828601 NexComm 2019 Conference, March 24 - 28, Valencia





### 3.5 Network Slicing Management (NSM) Architectures (cont'd)

- Multi-domain NFV for 4G/LTE networks- Example 2
- E.g.: eNodeB resides in domain 1
- EPC resides in domain 2.

EPC- Evolved Packet Core MME – Mobility Mgmt Entity S-GW – Serving Gateway HSS- Home Subscriber Sysrtem



#### Manager

Source: K.Katsalis, N.Nikaein, Andy Edmonds, Multi-Domain Orchestration for NFV: Challenges and Research Directions, <u>2016 15th International Conference on Ubiquitous Computing and Communications and 2016 International</u> <u>Symposium on Cyberspace and Security (IUCC-CSS)</u>, https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7828601



### 3.5 Network Slicing Management (NSM) Architectures (cont'd) – Example 3

5GPPP network orchestration architecture



5GPPP, "View on 5G architecture," 5G PPP Architecture Working Group, EuCNC, Athens, Greece, Jul. 2016.





3.6 Multi-tenant – multi-domain management and control

- Multi-tenant requirements
  - On-demand slice creation, allocation, modification, and deletion guaranteeing isolation from each other
  - Slices allocated to different tenants should run concurrently on top of a common shared infrastructure without (directly or indirectly) affecting each other
  - Provision of suitable APIs to third parties (tenants) for slice monitoring and management
  - Elastic adaptation, within minimum and maximum limits, of the slice capacity
  - Support for slice prioritization
  - Multi-slice/multi-service support for a given user equipment (UE)





# 3.6 Multi-tenant – multi-domain management and control Multi-domain requirements

E2E NSL overall objective: logical isolation and independent operations including: the terminal, RAN resource, core network (CN), transport network (TN) and network management for different scenarios, service and vertical industry

### General requirements for E2E multi-domain slices

- NSL : complete network, including RAN functions and CN functions
- Capabilities are needed to:
  - define and update the set of services for a NSL
  - NSL-create/modify/ delete (maybe with different priorities)
  - identify UE and its service requirements and associate it to a NSL or remove it from a NSL
- E2E isolation between slices should be ensured
- The slice modification operation may redefine the max/min capacity or add and remove NFs to the slice
- An user equipment (UE) may have access more than one NSL





# 3.6 Multi-tenant – multi-domain management and control Multi-domain requirements (cont'd)

- Multi-tenancy model should work in E2E and multi-domain context
- NSL should meet Service Level Agreement (SLA) requirements for each tenancy
- Plurality of devices to be admitted
  - with diverse sets of
    - QoS/QoE ranging over E2E latency
    - throughput
    - types of data transferred between end point devices and application servers
    - frequency of data transfers among other dependencies







Source: ETSI GR NFV-EVE 012 V3.1.1 (2017-12), Release 3; NFV Evolution and Ecosystem; Report on Network Slicing Support with ETSI NFV Architecture Framework





- NSs are mutually isolated: performance, resiliency, security, privacy and management
  - (they run concurrently on top of a shared NFVI without (directly or indirectly) affecting each other
  - the infrastructure (and NFVI) is owned and managed by different (and potentially non-trusted) administrative domains (InP1, InP2, ..)
  - Details on how isolation properties can be achieved
    e.g. : ETSI GS NFV-EVE 005, R NFV-IFA 022 and GR NFV-IFA 028
- Two types of SDN controllers : for tenant and for infrastructure
  - each one logically placed in a particular admin domain
- The tenant SDN controller dynamically configures and chains VNFs to realize network services in the tenant domain
  - It only controls the SW apps. of the VNFs for configuration and chaining purposes, but not their underlying NFVI resources

See: ETSI GR NFV-EVE 012 V3.1.1 (2017-12), Release 3; NFV Evolution and Ecosystem; Report on Network Slicing Support with ETSI NFV Architecture Framework





- The infrastructure SDN controller (IC)
  - M&C for the NFVI resources (placed in a NFVI-PoP or a WAN)
  - set up the connectivity to support the communication between the tenant VNFs in the infrastructure domain
  - performs M&C of the connectivity among the virtualization containers that host the tenant VNFs' software applications
  - the networking resources, supporting VM (and hence VNF) connectivity at the infrastructure level, are programmatically managed by the ICs following the managers VIM and the WIM commands
  - VIMs and WIMs act as SDN applications, delegating to ICs the M&C tasks related to networking resources
  - Implementation variant: to integrate ICs into their corresponding VIMs

See: ETSI GR NFV-EVE 012 V3.1.1 (2017-12), Release 3; NFV Evolution and Ecosystem; Report on Network Slicing Support with ETSI NFV Architecture Framework





- Each NFVI-PoP has a single VIM instance to configure and manage the virtualization containers and their underlying HW
  - Their connectivity is locally enforced by the infrastructure SDN controller (IC)
  - To connect NFVI-PoPs, each WAN domain relies on a WAN Infrastructure Manager (WIM) instance, (as the model in ETSI GR NFV-IFA 022)
- The scenario presented above is well-aligned with the NFVI as a Service (NFVIaaS) (ETSI GR NFV-IFA 028)
- Each tenant uses of the NFVI to get the performance needs of the slices in its domain
  - each InP plays the NFVIaaS provider role
  - each tenant acts as an NFVIaaS consumer

See: ETSI GR NFV-EVE 012 V3.1.1 (2017-12), Release 3; NFV Evolution and Ecosystem; Report on Network Slicing Support with ETSI NFV Architecture Framework





- A tenant, with its own set of NSLs is **isolated** from others

  - both **VIMs and WIMs support multi-tenancy** by offering separate NFVI resources to subscribed tenants through dedicated I/Fs
- VIMs has a resource pooling mechanisms to provide subscribed tenants
  - with isolated resource environments endowed with high availability
  - fault resilience features to support the tenant VNFs deployment
- WIMs have similar mechanisms (e.g., those of the ONF TR 527)
  - to simultaneously manage a number of virtual topologies in the WAN with different levels of abstraction

See: ETSI GR NFV-EVE 012 V3.1.1 (2017-12), Release 3; NFV Evolution and Ecosystem; Report on Network Slicing Support with ETSI NFV Architecture Framework NexComm 2019 Conference, March 24 - 28, Valencia





- Each NSL serving a tenant comprises
  - one NFVO
  - one (or several) VNFM(s)
  - a tenant SDN controller
  - one Operations Support System (OSS)
  - Each NSL has its own FBs as above → the tenant can preserve the required management isolation among slices
- NFVO
  - manages the operative slices in its admin. domain
  - perform resource scheduling functions in the tenant domain
  - capable to orchestrate resources (provided by different InPs) across different multiple administrative domains in the infrastructure
  - dynamically manages the lifecycle of the NSL constituent network service(s), including any associated VNF graph
- The VNFM(s) perform(s) LCM operations over the slice VNFs
- Variant: a single NFVO to control more than one slice





### Each NSL has a tenant SDN controller

- Deployed as a VNF itself
- It dynamically configures the (other) inner network slice's VNFs, and chains them to build up the NSrvs to be supported by the slice for a given use case
- NSrvs and VNF operations are highly correlated → after NFVO decision that a NSrv has been instantiated
  - there is a need for the OSS(an SDN app. from the tenant SDN controller's perspective), to interact with the controller and instruct it to perform the VNF configuration and chaining tasks
- The tenant SDN controller also offers a set of dedicated northbound I/Fs that allows slice's clients (and thus tenant's clients) to interact with the slice.

Source: ETSI GR NFV-EVE 012 V3.1.1 (2017-12), Release 3; NFV Evolution and Ecosystem; Report on Network Slicing Support with ETSI NFV Architecture Framework





### 3.7 Multi-tenant – multi-domain architectures – Example 2 - Variant of Example 1



Adapted from source: J.Ordonez-Lucena, et.al., "The Creation Phase in Network Slicing: From a Service Order to an Operative Network Slice", European Conference on Networks and Communications (EuCNC), 2018, <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.09642</u>





- The NSL provider
  - can simultaneously operate multiple NSLIs
  - rents the infrastructure resources owned by the InPs
- A NSL instance (NSLI) may be composed of one or more Network Service (NS) instances
  - The NSLI can consist
    - of an instance of a simple NS.
    - of an instance of a composite NS.
    - of a concatenation of simple and/or composite NS instances

### A NSL instance

- can span several *Infrastructure Providers* (InP) and/or admin. domains
- has it's own MPI plane and this provides isolation across NSIs
  - NSL Manager
  - Network Service Orchestrator (NSO)
  - Tenant SDN Controller
  - VNF Manager (VNFM)
- The VNFM(s) and the NSO perform the required life cycle operations (e.g. instantiation, scaling, termination, etc.) over the instances of the VNFs and NS(s), respectively.





#### **NSL Orchestrator (NSLO)**

- highest layer of the architecture
- key role in the creation phase and also in the run-time phase

#### NSLO- role at creation phase

- NSLO receives the order to deploy a NSLI for a tenant (or the Slice Provider decides to construct a slice)
  - NSLO needs to have information (including on multi-domain) as to be able to check the feasibility of the order

  - if feasible, then triggers the instantiation of the NSL To accomplish this, NSLO interacts with RO, and accesses the VNF and NS Catalogues
  - The catalogues contain VNF and NS descriptors, exposing the capabilities of all the VNFs and NSs that an NSL provider can select for the NSLs.

### **NSLO** role at run-time

- NSLO performs policy-based inter-slice operations
  - e.g.: it analyzes the perf and fault management data received from the operative NSLIs instances to manage their SLAs) If SLA violations, the NSLO decides modify/correct some NSLIs





- The NSLO and Resource Orchestrators are multi-domain capable
- **Resource Orchestration (RO)** 
  - uses the resources (supplied by the VIMs/WIMs), and dispatches them to the NSL instances in **an optimal way** knows the resource availability in each domain (**this supposes a set of**
  - inter-domain interactions)

#### NFVI level

- NFV and SDN solutions are applied
- M&C
  - VIM
  - WIM
  - SDN Infrastructure controllers




#### 3.7 Multi-tenant – multi-domain architectures – Example 3 Tenants (verticals, MVNO, APP providers End Users Service OSS/BSS Service Broker R epos itory Multi-domain Srv. Conductor Plane Logical Multi-domain Network Slices Service Conductor Slice C Slice B Cross-domain Slice Coordinator Slice A Unified Connectivity Unified Cloud **Resource Manager** M ed ia to r Multi-domain Network Slice Functions Data Plane Control Plane VNFs VNFs Service Management D2 Slice Life-Cycle Management D 1 NEVI Virtual Resources: Network, Compute, Storage, VNF-dom ain Sub-domain NFV MANO Sub-domain Domain Connectivity NFV O specific VNF Virtualisation layer control Catalog S DN Physical Resources: Controller VNFM(s) Network, Compute, Storage VIM/WIM Full-fledged NetSlice Orchestration Plane Multiple\_Admin\_Domains

Adapted from source: T.Taleb, I.Afolabi, K.Samdanis, and F. Z.Yousaf, "On Multi-domain Network Slicing Orchestration Architecture & Federated Resource Control", <u>http://mosaic-lab.org/uploads/papers/3f772f2d-9e0f-4329-9298-aae4ef8ded65.pdf</u>

# 3. Management, orchestration and control



3.7 Multi-tenant – multi-domain architectures – Example 3 (cont'd)

- A multi-domain NSLI can combine several Fully-Fledged NSLIs belonging to distinct admin domains to get an E2E multi-domain (i.e., federated NSLIs)
  - The constituent Fully-Fledged NSLIs = NSSI of the multi-domain NSLI
- A slice request received from a 3rd party → general sequence of actions
  - 1) mapping of the service requirements onto capability requirements
  - 2) translating the capability requirements into:
    - a. NSLI resource requirements (compute, storage, networking);
    - b. NSLI topology and connectivity type, policy, isolation and security requirements
  - 3) identifying the infrastructure-domains with the required resources, able to assure the E2E NSLI functional and operational requirements
  - 4) instantiating NSSIs in each infrastructure domain and then "stitching" them to create the federated NSI
  - 5) run-time coordination management operations across different domains for maintaining the E2E NSI service integrity.

#### The above processes $\rightarrow$ need to have several levels of controllers





#### 3.7 Multi-tenant – multi-domain architectures – Example 3 (cont'd)

#### Novel top plane - Service Broker (SB)

 to handle incoming slice requests from verticals, Mobile Virtual Network Operators (MVNO), and application providers.

#### The main SB operations:

- collects abstracted service capability information regarding different admin. domains, creating a global service support repository
- interacts with the Operating/Business Support System (OSS/BSS) in order to collect business, policy and admin information when handling slice requests
- Involved in admission control and negotiation, considering service aspects
- management of slice user/owner relationship enabling a direct tenant interface with the MSC plane
- billing and charging
- NSLI scheduling, i.e., start and termination time related with slice composition and decommission





#### 3.7 Multi-tenant – multi-domain architectures – Example 3 (cont'd)

#### Other specific functional blocks

- Multi-domain Service Conductor (MSC) stratum
  - service management across federated domains
  - analyzes and maps the service reqs. of incoming slice requests onto appropriate admin domains
  - maintains the desired service performance during service life-cycle
- Cross-domain Slice Coordinator is defined for each E2E slice
  - It aligns cloud and networking resources across federated domains and carries out the (LCM) operations of a multi-domain slice
  - It also establishes and controls inter-domain transport layer connectivity assuring the desired performance.
- Each domain will have a dedicated Orchestration plane, involving the NFV style architecture for the management and control.





#### 3.8 Isolation and security- summary

- Trust relationships is needed between the different actors (e.g. InPs, tenants, tenant's clients, etc.), → each admin. domain may have its own security domain
  - separate security domains in the slice is should preserve security and privacy isolation between clients.
  - Examples: one security domain for each InP ; one security domain for each tenant; one (or more) security domain(s) for each slice
  - The tenant SDN controller enables the abstraction and isolation with its northbound interfaces

#### Isolation aspects:

- Performance: service-specific performance reqs. (KPIs) must be always met on each slice, regardless of the congestion and perf. levels of other slices
- Security and privacy: Attacks/faults in one slice must have no impact on other slices
  - each slice has its security functions to avoid unauthorized access to slice-specific configuration/management/accounting information





## **3.8 Isolation and security- summary** (cont'd) **Different Levels of Network Slices Isolation**

### Operational isolation vs. network level isolation

- operational isolation
  - vertical customers could have independent monitoring, control, configuration, or even full operation capability of the NS
- network level isolation
  - Vertical customers may want to not share NFs or resources with the other customers
  - different sub-categories exist, e.g.: shared RAN but isolated core, or isolated RAN as well as core, etc.
- Operators can provide operational isolation w/wo very weak network isolation
  - E.g.: the system could use IDs to differentiate the users belonging to different tenants who share the infrastructure.
  - Example : NB-IoT, which can be treated as a preconfigured NS, with many different IoT tenants sharing the same NB-IoT network. See: GSMA, Network Slicing, - Use Cases and Requirements, April 2018





- 3.8 Isolation and security- summary (cont'd)
- Isolation aspects:
  - How to to achieve isolation
    - consistent policies and mechanisms to be defined at each virtualisation level
      - policies : lists of rules (*What?*) describe how different manageable entities must be properly isolated, without delving into how this can be achieved.
      - mechanisms (*How*? it is to be done) processes implemented to enforce the defined policies
      - Interplay of virtualisation and orchestration is needed



### 3. Management, orchestration and control



## **3.8 Isolation and security- summary** (cont'd) **Different Levels of Network Slices Isolation**

- Different levels of isolation will have different costs
- Most expensive mode will be dedicated RAN (L0 or L1)



Source: GSMA, Network Slicing, - Use Cases and Requirements , April 2018





- 1. 5G Network slicing concepts, use cases and requirements
- 2. 5G slicing relevant architectures
- 3. Management, orchestration and control
- 4. Advanced cognitive management
- 5. Conclusions and research challenges





### 4.1 Cognitive Management concepts

- 5G slicing has complex management requirements related to multi tenant/domain/operator character and softwarization of network resources
- Need of real-time mgmt. based on a hierarchy of complex decision making techniques that analyse *historical, temporal and frequency network data.*
- Cognitive network management recent trend using Artificial Intelligence (AI) and in particular Machine Learning (ML) to develop self-x, (x= -aware, configuring, -optimization, -healing and -protecting systems)
- Cognitive management
   – extension of Autonomic Management (AM) (coined by IBM ~ 2001)
  - AM + Machine learning = Cognitive Management (CogM)
- Challenge: to deploy the CogM and its orchestration across multiple heterogeneous networks: Radio & Other Access Networks, Core & Aggregation, Edge Networks, Edge and Computing Clouds and Satellite Networks





- 4.1 Cognitive Management concepts (cont'd)
- Autonomous Network Management (ANM) : introduce self-governed networks for pursuing business and network goals while maintaining performance

Managing

- IBM original AM (~2001), later extended in networking domain  $\rightarrow$  ANM
  - Loop: The Monitor-Analyse-Plan-Execute over a shared Knowledge
  - (**MAPE-K**) is a control theorybased feedback model for selfadaptive systems.
  - The environment has fullduplex communication with a *managing systems* controlling a managed system
  - **AM** hierarchical and recursive approach



Analyze

Plan

Source: 5GPPP Network Management & Quality of Service Working Group, "Cognitive Network Management for 5G", 2017





### 4.1 Cognitive Management concepts (cont'd)

### Autonomic Network Management functions

- Monitoring: active, passive, centralized, distributed, granularity-based, timing-based and programmable
- Analysis: many approaches exist –relying, e.g., on probability and Bayesian models for anticipation on knowledge, timing, mechanism, network, user, app.
  - Challenge: to define a concentrated data set that comprehensively captures information across all anticipation points.
  - Recent solutions use learning and reasoning to achieve such specific ends.

### Planning and Execution

- Dimensions of the network adaptation plan are: knowledge, strategy, purposefulness, degree of adaptation autonomy, stimuli, adaptation rate, temporal/spatial scope, open/closed adaptation and security.
- Current status: the adaptation solutions differ broadly and there is no unanimity in defining proper planning and execution guidelines NexComm 2019 Conference, March 24 - 28, Valencia





- 4.1 Cognitive Management concepts (cont'd)
- Autonomic Network Management functions (cont'd)
  - Knowledge base
  - The network information is shared across the MAPE-K architecture
  - Many approaches exist to build knowledge on network/topology, including models from learning and reasoning, ontology and DEN-ng models.
  - Integrated solution- able to capture knowledge on: structure , control and behaviour
  - Typically:
    - a knowledge-based framework processes input data from multiple sources
    - and extracts relevant knowledge, through learning-based classification, prediction and clustering models
    - to drive the decisions of Self Organizing Network (SON)-type, e.g., self-planning, self-optimization and self-healing.





4.2 Automation of 5G network slicing management with Machine Learning
Network functions requiring automation

- Planning and design: Requirements and environment analysis, topology determination; it provide inputs to
- Construction and deployment: Static resource allocation, VNF placement, orchestration actions; it provide inputs to
- Operation, control and management: Dynamic resource allocation, adjustment; policy adaptation; it interact bi-directionally with
  - Fault detection: Syslog analysis, behavior analysis, fault localization
  - **Monitoring**: Workload, performance, resource utilization
  - **Security:** Traffic analysis, DPI, threat identification, infection isolation

Adapted from source: V. P. Kafle, et. al., "Consideration on Automation of 5G Network slicing with Machine Learning", ITU Caleidoscope Santafe 2018







### 4.3 Machine Learning (ML) – basic summary

- (ML) (subset of Al)
- A machine can learn from experience, by using algorithms and statistical models, i.e., not explicitly programmed to perform a given task.
  - ML algorithms build a math model of sample data, known as "training data", in order to make predictions or decisions
  - The machine learns from experience, with respect to some task, and some perf. metric, if its task perf. improves with experience
  - Traditional programming
    - Input Data , Rules → Computing Machine → Output data
  - Machine learning
    - Supervised learning
      - Input (training) data, Output data  $\rightarrow$  Computing Machine  $\rightarrow$  Rules
    - Unsupervised learning
      - an algorithm explores input data without knowing an explicit output variable (e.g., explores demographic data to identify patterns)
    - Reinforced learning
      - software agents take repeated actions in an environment so as to maximize some notion of cumulative reward.





- 4.3 Machine Learning (ML) basic summary (cont'd)
- **Phase (1) learning**: through the discovery of patterns thanks to the data
  - The list of attributes used to solve a problem is called a feature vector (subset of data that is used to tackle a problem)
  - Phase (1) is used to describe the data and summarize it into a model
- Phase (2) Inference: using the model, test it on never-seen-before data
  - The new data are transformed into a features vector, go through the model and give a prediction



Source: "Machine Learning Tutorial for Beginners", https://www.guru99.com/machine-learning-tutorial.html





4.3 Machine Learning (ML) – basic summary (cont'd)

### Supervised machine learning (SML)

- SML alg. build a math model of a set of data that contains both the inputs and the desired outputs
- The training data, consists of a set of examples (each having one or more inputs and a desired output)
- Example: y<sub>i</sub> is the output associated with the vector x<sub>i</sub>

Given: Training data:  $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n) / x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $y_i$  is the label.

example $x_1 \rightarrow$	$x_{11}$	$x_{12}$	 $x_{1d}$	$y_1 \leftarrow label$
example $x_i \rightarrow$	$x_{i1}$	$x_{i2}$	 $x_{id}$	$y_i \leftarrow label$
example $x_n \rightarrow$	$x_{n1}$	$x_{n2}$	 $x_{nd}$	$y_n \leftarrow label$

Source: Machine Learning Basic Concepts https://courses.edx.org/asset-1:ColumbiaX+CSMM.101x+1T2017+type@asset+block@Al\_edx\_ml\_5.1intro.pdf





### 4.3 Machine Learning (ML) –basics- summary

- Supervised learning (cont'd)
- Given a set of N training examples of the form  $\{(x_1, y_1), .., (x_n, y_n)\}$  such that
  - x<sub>i</sub> is the feature vector of the i-th example and
  - y<sub>i</sub> is its label (i.e., class),
  - a learning algorithm seeks a function g:X->Y where X is the input space and Y is the output space
- The function g is an element of a space of possible functions G, usually called the hypothesis space
- It is convenient to represent g using a scoring function f: X x Y-->R
  - such that g is defined as returning the y value that gives the highest score: g(x)=arg max<sub>y</sub> f(x,y)
- SL algorithms-main applications: classification and regression
  - Characteristics
    - **Classification** : outputs are restricted to a limited set of values
    - Regression : outputs may have any numerical value within a range

Jessicz Moysen and Lorenza Giupponi, "From 4G to 5G: Self-organized Network Management meets Machine Learning", arXiv:1707.09300v1 [cs.NI] 28 Jul 20

### 4. Advanced cognitive management



- 4.3 Machine Learning (ML) –basics- summary
- Supervised learning (cont'd)
- Algorithms for supervised learning examples
  - **k-Nearest Neighbors** (k-NN) can be used for classification and regression
    - k-NN is a non-linear method where the input consists of the k closest training samples in the input space. The predicted output is the average of the values of its k nearest neighbours
  - Generalized Linear Models (GLM)- it describes a linear relationship between the output and one or more input variables
  - Naive Bayes (NB) used for classification and is based on Bayes theorem, i.e., calculating probabilities based on the prior probability. The main task is to classify new data points as they arrive

Source: Jessica Moysen and Lorenza Giupponi, "From 4G to 5G: Self-organized Network Management meets Machine Learning", arXiv:1707.09300v1 [cs.NI] 28 Jul 2017





### 4.3 Machine Learning (ML) –basics- summary

- Algorithms for supervised learning-examples
- Support Vector Machines (SVMs) are inspired by statistical learning theory for estimating multidimensional functions
  - It can be used for classification and regression. This method is a math. optimization problem, which can be solved by known techniques
  - Problem: given m training samples ((x1; y1);...; (xm; ym)), the goal is to learn the parameters of a function which best fit the data
- Artificial Neural Network (ANN) is a statistical learning model where the interconnected nodes represent the neurons producing appropriate responses ANN supports both classification and regression
  - The basic idea is to efficiently train and validate a neural network. Then, the trained network is used to make a prediction on the test set.
- Decision Trees (DT) is a flow-chart model in which each internal node represents a test on an attribute. Each leaf node represents a response, and the branch represents the outcome of the test.
  - DTs can be used for classification and regression





### 4.3 Machine Learning (ML) - summary

- Supervised learning (cont'd)
  - Similarity learning is an area of SML closely related to regression and classification,
    - but the goal is to learn from examples using a similarity function that measures how similar or related two objects are
    - It has applications in ranking, recommendation systems, visual identity tracking, face/speaker verification

### Unsupervised Machine Learning (UML)

- The UML technique, receives unlabelled input patterns with the objective to find a pattern in it
  - The machine learns by itself, without providing it in the training phase the correct answer to the problem
  - The goal is to construct representation of inputs that can be used for predicting future inputs without giving the algorithm the right answer, as in turn we do in SML case.
  - The three most important families of algorithms are clustering, dimensionality reduction and anomaly detection techniques.





### 4.3 Machine Learning (ML) - summary

- Unsupervised learning (cont'd)
- Clustering aims at identifying groups of data to build representation of the input
  - Methods to create clusters by grouping the data are: non-overlapping, hierarchical and overlapping clustering methods
  - Algorithms: K-means, Self-organizing Maps (SOMs), Fuzzy C-means, Gaussian mixture models

### Dimensionality Reduction

- It is of interest for many problems to reduce the dimension of the original data.
- Common methods:
  - Feature Extraction (FE) (e.g. Principal component analysis (PCA)
  - Feature Selection (FS) (e.g. Sparse Principal Component Analysis (SPCA)
- They seek to reduce the number of features in the dataset

Source: Jessica Moysen and Lorenza Giupponi, "From 4G to 5G: Self-organized Network Management meets Machine Learning", arXiv:1707.09300v1 [cs.NI] 28 Jul 2017





### 4.3 Machine Learning (ML) - summary

- Unsupervised learning (cont'd)
- Anomaly Detection identifies events that do not correspond to an expected pattern. The machine selects the set of unusual events
  - Common methods
    - Rule based systems: (similar to DTs),
    - Pruning techniques: identify outliers, where there are errors in any combination of variables

### Reinforcement learning (RL)

- Software agents take actions in an environment so as to maximize some notion of cumulative reward
- RL is also is called approximate dynamic programming, or neuro-dynamic programming
- The environment is typically formulated as a Markov Decision Process (MDP), as many RL algorithms for this context utilize dynamic programming techniques
- RL do not assume knowledge of an exact mathematical model of the MDP and they target large MDPs where exact methods become infeasible







### 4.3 Machine Learning (ML) - summary

### Reinforcement learning (RL) (cont'd)

- RL is a general-purpose framework for decision-making
  - RL is for an agent with the capacity to act
  - Each action influences the agent's future state
  - Success is measured by a scalar reward signal
- Goal: select actions to maximize future reward







### 4.3 Machine Learning (ML) - summary

- Deep Learning (DL)
- DL is a general-purpose framework for representation learning
  - Given an objective
  - Learn representation that is required to achieve objective
  - Directly from raw inputs, using minimal domain knowledge
  - DL use a cascade of multiple layers of nonlinear processing units for feature extraction and transformation. Each successive layer uses the output from the previous layer as input
  - learn in supervised (e.g., classification) and/or unsupervised (e.g., pattern analysis) manners
  - learn multiple levels of representations that correspond to different levels of abstraction; the levels form a hierarchy of concepts
- Deep Reinforcement Learning: AI = RL + DL
  - RL defines the objective
  - DL gives the mechanism
  - RL + DL = general intelligence





### 4.3 Machine Learning (ML) - summary

#### Q-learning

- It is a model-free RL algorithm.
- The goal is to learn a policy, which tells an agent what action to take under what circumstances
- It does not require a model (hence the connotation "model-free") of the environment, and it can handle problems with stochastic transitions and rewards, without requiring adaptations





#### 4.3 Machine Learning (ML) - summary

Supervised Learning		
Classification	K-Nearest Neighbours	
	Generalized Linear Model	
	Support Vector Machines	
	Naive Bayes	
	Neural Networks	
Regression	K-Nearest Neighbours	
-	Generalized Linear Regression	
	Support Vector Regression	
	Neural Networks	
	Decision Trees	
Unsupervised Learning		
Clustering	Non-overlapping clustering	
	Hierarchical clustering	
	Overlapping clustering	
Dimensionality Reduction	Feature Extraction	
	Feature Selection	
Anomaly Detection	Pruning techniques	
	Rule-based systems	
Reinforcement Learning		
Model-based	Dynamic Programming	
	Monte Carlo	
Model-free	Temporal Difference	

Source: Jessica Moysen and Lorenza Giupponi, "From 4G to 5G: Self-organized Network Management meets Machine Learning", arXiv:1707.09300v1 [cs.NI] 28 Jul 2017





- 4.4 Machine Learning algorithms –typical –generic- use cases
- Supervised learning used for
  - regression computation
    - algorithms: trees, neural networks (NN), deep NN
  - classifications
    - algorithms: trees, neural networks (NN), deep NNs, Bayesian classifier, support vector machine (SVM)
- Unsupervised learning- used for
  - density estimation
    - algorithms: Bolzmann machine, Kernel density, Gaussian mixtures
  - dimensionality reduction
    - algorithms: auto-associative NN, local linear embedding (LLE)
  - clustering
    - algorithms: Spectral clustering, K-means, Principal component analysis
- Reinforcement learning (RL) –used for real-time decisions





- 4.5 Network functions and relevant (possible to be used) ML techniques
- Planning and design
  - Functions: Classification of service requirements; Forecasting trend; user behavior; Parameters Configuration
  - ML techniques: Support vector machine; Gradient boosting decision tree; Spectral clustering; Reinforcement learning

### Operation and management

- Functions: Clustering cells, users, devices; Routing, forwarding, traffic control; Decision making for dynamic resource control, policy formulation; Reconfiguration of parameters
- ML techniques: K-mean clustering; Deep neural network; Reinforcement learning

### Monitoring

- Functions: Clustering of syslog data; Classification of operation modes; Forecasting resource utilization trend
- ML techniques : Spectral clustering; K-mean clustering; Support vector machine; Deep neural network





- 4.5 Network function and relevant (possible to be used) ML techniques
  - (cont'd)
- Fault detection
  - Functions: Classification of operation data; Detection of network anomaly; Predicting unusual behavior
  - ML techniques: Principal component analysis; Independent component analysis; Logistic regression; Bayesian networks
- Security
  - Functions: Clustering users and devices; Detecting malicious behaviour; -Intrusion detection
  - **ML techniques:** Deep neural network; Principal component analysis





- 4.5 Network function and relevant ML techniques
  - Specific ML techniques appropriate for FCAPS- Examples
- BN Bayesian networks
- NN Neural networks
- K-NN K Nearest Neighbors
- DT Decision trees
- DL Deep Learning
- SVM Support vector machines
- DNN Deep NN
- RL Reinforcement Learning

Management area	Management function	Machine learning techniques		
	Fault prediction	NN, k-NN, k-Means, DT, BN, SVM		
Fault	Fault localization	NN, k-NN, k-Means, DT		
	Automated mitigation	BN, SVM		
Configuration	Adaptive resource allocation	Q-Learning, Deep		
	Adaptive service configuration	Q-Learning		
Accounting	-	-		
Performance	Traffic load and metrics prediction	(Ensemble) NN, BN, SVM,		
	QoE-QoS correlation	DT, BN, SVM, Q-learning		
Security	Misuse detection	NN, DT, BN, SVM		
	Anomaly detection	(Ensemble) NN, DNN, <i>k</i> -NN, <i>k</i> -means, (Ensemble) DT, Ensemble BN, SVM		

Source: Sara Ayoubi, et.al., Machine Learning for Cognitive Network Management, IEEE Comm.Magazine , January 2018, pp.158-165





- 4.5 Research challenges related to ML techniques for FCAPS- examples
- Failure Prevention:
  - ML- Proactive mitigation (e.g using RL) combined with fault prediction can prevent upcoming failures
    - To select the mitigation step, the root cause of the predicted fault has to be identified
    - But, existing ML-based localization approaches: poor scalability for the high-dimensional device log attributes in moderate-size networks.
    - Dimensionality reduction is needed
- Fault Management in Cloud and Virtualized Environments
  - The multi-tenancy in cloud/NFV environment raise the complexity and dimensions of the fault space in a network
  - DNNs can model complex multi-dimensional state spaces- -- > used to predict and locate faults in such networks
  - Any automated mitigation within a Virtual Network (VN) should not affect other coexisting VNs
    - RL combined with DNNs can learn to optimize mitigation steps.

Adapted from : Sara Ayoubi, et.al., Machine Learning for Cognitive Network Management, IEEE Comm.Magazine , January 2018, pp.158-165





- 4.5 Research challenges related to ML techniques for FCAPS (cont'd)
   Configuration Management:
  - Mapping High-Level Requirements to Low-Level Configurations:
    - There is a gap between high-level requirements and low-level configurations (e.g., resources to be provisioned)
    - RL techniques can be applied
    - The reward for selecting a configuration setting of a given network element can be seen as the utility of that particular setting in delivering the high-level req. under a given network condition

#### Configuration Verification

- Configuration changes (e.g., access control lists, routing tables) should comply with high-level reqs. and not adversely affect the expected network behavior
- Interest exists in applying DL-aided verification, code correction, and theorem proving

Source: Sara Ayoubi, et.al., Machine Learning for Cognitive Network Management, IEEE Comm.Magazine , January 2018, pp.158-165





- 4.5 Research challenges related to ML techniques for FCAPS (cont'd)
- Performance Management
  - Adaptive Probing
    - Large number of devices, parameters, small time intervals to log data → increase the amount of measuring traffic overhead
    - Regression, mostly based on time series data, can predict the value of the measured parameters to optimize probing.
    - Objective: to set probing rates that keep traffic overhead enough low, while minimizing perf. degradation and providing high prediction accuracy

### Detecting Patterns of Degradation

- Need to detect the characteristic patterns of degradation before the quality drops below an acceptable level
- Elastic resource allocation can dynamically accommodate user demands for achieving optimum perf. while maximizing resource utilization
- SML has been already used to predict the value of network perf.
- However, employing perf. prediction for autonomic tuning of the network behavior remains is an open challenge.





## 4.6 Examples of architectures embedding cognitive management MAPE- full cognitive loop

Source: Sara Ayoubi, et.al., Machine Learning for Cognitive Network Management, IEEE Comm.Magazine , January 2018, pp.158-165

- Traditional MAPE: only Analyze Phase included cognitive properties
- Proposal : to introduce ML in all phases
- ML: introducing learning and inference in every function.







4.6 Examples of architectures embedding cognitive management

- MAPE- full cognitive loop (cont'd)
- C-Monitor: intelligent probing (e.g., if overloaded network, the C-Monitor function may decide to reduce the probing rate and instead perform regression for data prediction
- C-Analyze: detects or predicts changes in the network environment (e.g., faults, policy violations, frauds, low performance, attacks).
- C-Plan: can leverage ML to develop an intelligent automated planning (AP) engine that reacts to changes in the network by selecting or composing a change plan.
- C-Execute: schedules the generated plans and determine the course of action should the execution of a plan fail.
  - RL is –naturally- applied: C-Execute agent could exploit past successful experiences to generate optimal execution policies, and explore new actions in case the execution plan fails
- Closing the control loop : monitoring the state of the network to measure the impact of the change plan

Source: Sara Ayoubi, et.al., Machine Learning for Cognitive Network Management, IEEE Comm.Magazine , January 2018, pp.158-165


## 4. Advanced cognitive management



## 4.6 Examples of architectures embedding cognitive management

 SLICENET H2020 Phase 2 project : End-to-End Cognitive Network Slicing and Slice Management Framework in Virtualised Multi-Domain, Multi-Tenant 5G Networks. (2016)



*Source: https://5g-ppp.eu/slicenet* 





## 4.6 Examples of architectures embedding cognitive management

- SLICENET H2020 Phase 2 project : End-to-End Cognitive Network Slicing and Slice Management Framework in Virtualised Multi-Domain, Multi-Tenant 5G Networks. (2016) https://5g-ppp.eu/slicenet
- SliceNet mgmt. takes a "verticals in the whole loop" approach, integrating the vertical perspective into the slice management process
- SliceNet: fully automated slice M&O through AI/ML and utilizing the autonomous computing MAPE loop model, a vertically-informed multilayer QoE monitoring sub-plane, and a slice-centric policy framework.
- The vertical is integrated into the CogM process by providing
  - the perceived QoE: it enables supervised ML methods.
  - context: used to collect context-aware cross-layer information



Source: D. Lorenz, et. al., "SliceNet – Cognitive Slice Management Framework for Virtual Multi-Domain 5G Networks", https://www.systor.org/2018/pdf/systor18-21.pdf





- **1.** Introduction
- 2. 5G slicing concepts and use cases
- **3.** 5G slicing general architectures
- 4. Multi-tenant 5G slicing
- 5. Multi-domain and end to end 5G slicing
- **6. →** Conclusions and research challenges



## 5. Conclusions and research challenges



General Research challenges

## Cross-Domain Coordination(CDC)

- Service/data model & mapping in a single domain and coordination in multi-domain
- Slice stitching / composition in a single domain and coordination for multidomain

## Network Slicing Management

- Uniform Network Slice life cycle management
- Network Slice Discovery
- Autonomic slice management and operation (including C-MAPE-K for FCAPS)
- E2E Sliced Network Orchestration, Multi-tenancy aspects

## Performance Guarantee and Isolation

- Guarantees for network slice isolation
- Isolation vs. Sharing issues
- Slicing Resource & Requirement
  - Uniform Reference Template, Capability exposure and APIs
  - Business models, Deployment and Economic Challenges

Adapted from A.Galis, "Network Slicing Tutorial" - IEEE CNSM 2018 - Rome 5th November 2018





## Challenges in Using Machine Learning

- Representative Datasets
- Speed vs. Accuracy
- Ground truth (refers to the accuracy of the training set's classification for SML techniques)
- Selection of the most convenient ML techniques for networks
- Incremental Learning
- Security of Machine Learning

## Challenges in Autonomic Network Management in cognitive context

- Orchestration of Cognitive Management Functions
- Cooperation between Cognitive Mgmt and SDN, NFV environment





- Thank you !
- Questions?





#### References

- 1. Panwar N., Sharma S., Singh A. K. 'A Survey on 5G: The Next Generation of Mobile Communication' Elsevier Physical Communication, 4 Nov 2015, <u>http://arxiv.org/pdf/1511.01643v1.pdf</u>
- 2. 5GPPP Architecture Working Group , View on 5G Architecture, Version 2.0, December 2017
- 3. 3GPP TS 23.501 V15.2.0 (2018-06), System Architecture for the 5G System; Stage 2, (Release 15)
- 4. 3GPP TS 28.530 V1.2.1 (2018-07), Management and orchestration of 5G networks; Concepts, use cases and requirements
- 5. 3GPP, TR 23.799 V14.0.0 (2016-12), Study on Architecture for Next Generation System (Release 14)
- 6. 3GPP TR 28.801 V15.1.0 (2018-01), Study on management and orchestration of network slicing for next generation network, (Release 15)
- 7. A.Galis, 5G Architecture Viewpoints H2020 5G PPP Infrastructure Association July 2016, August 2017, <u>https://5g-ppp.eu/white-papers/</u>
- 8. A. Galis, Towards Slice Networking, presentation at IETF98 -, March 2017; https://github.com/netslices/IETF-NetSlices
- J. Ordonez-Lucena, P. Ameigeiras, D. Lopez, J.J. Ramos-Munoz, J. Lorca, J. Folgueira, Network "Slicing for 5G with SDN/NFV: Concepts, Architectures and Challenges", IEEE Communications Magazine, 2017, Citation information: DOI 10.1109/MCOM.2017.1600935
- 10. ONF TR-526, "Applying SDN Architecture to 5G Slicing", Apr. 2016.
- 11. ETSI GS NFV 003 V1.2.1 (2014-12), Network Functions Virtualisation (NFV);Terminology for Main Concepts in NFV, http://www.etsi.org/deliver/etsi\_gs/NFV/001\_099/003/01.02.01\_60/gs\_NFV003v010201p.pdf
- 12. ETSI NFV 001 V1.1.1, 2013-10, NFV Use Cases
- 13. ETSI GS NFV 002 v1.2.1 2014-12, NFV Architectural Framework
- 14. IETF Network Slicing Architecture draft-geng-netslices-architecture-02,
- 15. ETSI GR NFV-EVE 012 V3.1.1 (2017-12), Release 3; NFV Evolution and Ecosystem; Report on Network Slicing Support with ETSI NFV Architecture Framework
- 16. ETSI EN 302 665 V1.1.1 (2010-09, European Standard (Telecommunications series) Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS); Communications Architecture
- 17. ETSI GS NFV 003 V1.3.1 (2018-01) Network Functions Virtualisation (NFV); Terminology for Main Concepts in NFV





#### References

- 18. ETSI GR NFV-IFA 022 V3.1.1 (2018-04) Network Functions Virtualisation (NFV) Release 3; Management and Orchestration: Report on Management and connectivity for Multi-Site Services
- 19. ONF TR 527: "Functional Requirements for Transport API", June 2016.
- 20. Q. Li, G. Wu, A. Papathanassiou, U. Mukherjee, An end-to-end network slicing framework for 5G wireless communication systems, https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.00572
- 21. GSMA, Network Slicing, Use Cases and Requirements, April 2018, https://www.gsma.com/futurenetworks/wpcontent/uploads/2018/04/NS-Final.pdf
- 22. 5G Americas, Network Slicing for 5G Networks & Services, 2016, http://www.5gamericas.org/files/3214/7975/0104/5G Americas Network Slicing 11.21 Final.pdf
- 23. K.Katsalis, N.Nikaein, Andy Edmonds, Multi-Domain Orchestration for NFV: Challenges and Research Directions, 2016 15th International Conference on Ubiguitous Computing and Communications and 2016 International Symposium on Cyberspace and Security (IUCC-CSS), https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7828601
- 24. Z.Kotulski et.al., Towards constructive approach to end-to-end slice isolation in 5G networks, EURASIP Journal on Information Security (2018) 2018:2, https://doi.org/10.1186/s13635-018-0072-0
- 25. X. Foukas, G. Patounas, A.Elmokashfi, and M.K. Marina, Network Slicing in 5G: Survey and Challenges, IEEE Communications Magazine, May 2017, pp.94-100
- 26. P. Rost et al, Network Slicing to Enable Scalability and Flexibility in 5G Mobile Networks, IEEE Communication Magazine, 2017
- 27. G. Nencioni et al., Orchestration and Control in Software-Defined 5G Networks: Research Challenges, Wiley, Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing Volume 2018, Article ID 6923867, pp. 1-19, https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/6923867https://www.hindawi.com/journals/wcmc/2018/6923867/
- 28. End to End Network Slicing White paper 3 Outlook 21, Wireless World, Nov 2017
- 29. Jinjin Gong, Lu Ge, Xin Su, Jie Zeng, Radio Access Network Slicing in 5G, in Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing · March 2017, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/315848047
- 30. Ibrahim AFOLABI, et al., Towards 5G Network Slicing over Multiple-Domains, IEICE Trans. Commun., Vol.E100-B, No.11 Nov. 2017





#### References

- 31. L. Geng , et.al., IETF- "Network Slicing Architecture draft-geng-netslices-architecture-02", 2017
- 32. A.Galis and K.Makhijani, Network Slicing Landscape: A holistic architectural approach, orchestration and management with applicbility in mobile and fixed networks and clouds, v1.0, Network Slicing Tutorial IEEE NetSoft 2018 Montreal 29th June2018.
- 33. Ibrahim Afolabi, Tarik Taleb, Konstantinos Samdanis, Adlen Ksentini, and Hannu Flinck, Network Slicing and Softwarization: A Survey on Principles, Enabling Technologies, and Solutions IEEE Comm. Surveys & Tutorials, Vol. 20, No. 3, 2018
- 34. J.Ordonez-Lucena, et.al., "The Creation Phase in Network Slicing: From a Service Order to an Operative Network Slice", European Conference on Networks and Communications (EuCNC), 2018, <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.09642</u>
- 35. T.Taleb, I.Afolabi, K.Samdanis, and F. Z.Yousaf, "On Multi-domain Network Slicing Orchestration Architecture & Federated Resource Control", <u>http://mosaic-lab.org/uploads/papers/3f772f2d-9e0f-4329-9298-aae4ef8ded65.pdf</u>
- 36. 5GPPP Network Management & Quality of Service Working Group, "Cognitive Network Management for 5G", 2017
- 37. V. P. Kafle, et. al., "Consideration on Automation of 5G Network slicing with Machine Learning", ITU Caleidoscope Santafe 2018
- 38. "Machine Learning Tutorial for Beginners", https://www.guru99.com/machine-learning-tutorial.html
- 39. Machine Learning Basic Concepts <u>https://courses.edx.org/asset-</u> <u>1:ColumbiaX+CSMM.101x+1T2017+type@asset+block@AI\_edx\_ml\_5.1intro.pdf</u>
- 40. Jessica Moysen and Lorenza Giupponi, "From 4G to 5G: Self-organized Network Management meets Machine Learning", arXiv:1707.09300v1 [cs.NI] 28 Jul 2017
- 41. Sara Ayoubi, et.al., Machine Learning for Cognitive Network Management, IEEE Comm.Magazine , January 2018, pp.158-165
- 42. https://5g-ppp.eu/slicenet
- 43. D. Lorenz, et. al., "SliceNet Cognitive Slice Management Framework for Virtual Multi-Domain 5G Networks", https://www.systor.org/2018/pdf/systor18-21.pdf





#### List of Acronyms

5G CN	Core Network
5G-AN	5G Access Network
5GS	5G System
5QI	5G QoS Identifier
AF	Application Function
AI	Artificial Intelligence
AMF	Access and Mobility Management Function
AS	Access Stratum
AUSF	Authentication Server Function
BBU	Baseband Unit
BN	Bayesian Networks
CA	Certificate Authority
CaaS	Cooperation as a Service
CAPIF	Common API Framework for 3GPP northbound APIs
CC	Cloud Computing
CP	Control Plane
CRAN	Cloud based Radio Access Network
D2D	Device to Device communication
DLk	Downlink
DL	Deep Learning
DN	Data Network
DNN	Deep Neural Network
DoS	Denial of Services
DP	Data Plane (User Plane UP)





#### List of Acronyms

DT	Decision Tree
ENaaS	Entertainment as a Service
ePDG	evolved Packet Data Gateway
FC	Fog Computing
GPS	Global Positioning System
HR	Home Routed (roaming)
laaS	Infrastructure as a Service
INaaS	Information as a Service
INS	Insurance
loT	Internet of Things
IT&C	Information Technology and Communications
ITS	Intelligent Transportation Systems
k-NN	k-Nearest Neighbours
LADN	Local Area Data Network
LLC	Logical Link Control
LMF	Location Management Function
MANET	Mobile Ad hoc Network
M&C	Management and Control
MCC	Mobile Cloud Computing
MEC	Multi-access (Mobile ) Edge Computing
ML	Machine Learning
N3IWF	Non-3GPP InterWorking Function
NaaS	Network as a Service
NAI	Network Access Identifier



## Advanced management and control in 5G sliced networks

#### List of Acronyms

NEF	Network Exposure Function
NF	Network Function
NFV	Network Function Virtualization
NGAP	Next Generation Application Protocol
NN	Neural Networks
NRF	Network Repository Function
NSL	Network Slice
NSLI	Network Slice Instance
NS	Network Service
NSLID	Network Slice Instance Identifier
NSSAI	Network Slice Selection Assistance Information
NSSF	Network Slice Selection Function
NSSP	Network Slice Selection Policy
NWDAF	Network Data Analytics Function
OBU	On Board Unit
OIF	Optical Internetworking Forum
ONF	Open Networking Foundation
PaaS	Platform as a Service
PCF	Policy Control Function
PEI	Permanent Equipment Identifier
PKI	Public Key Infrastructure
QFI	QoS Flow Identifier
QoE	Quality of Experience
RAN	Radio Access Network





#### List of Acronyms

RL	Reinforcement Learning
RRH	Remote Radio Head
RSU	Road Side Unit
SaaS	Software as a Service
SBA	Service Based Architecture
SBI	Service Based Interface
SD	Slice Differentiator
SDN	Software Defined Networking
SEAF	Security Anchor Functionality
SEPP	Security Edge Protection Proxy
SLA	Service Level Agreement
SM	Service Management
SMF	Session Management Function
SML	Supervised Machine Learning
S-MIB	Security Management Information Base
SMSF	Short Message Service Function
S-NSSAI	Single Network Slice Selection Assistance Information
SSC	Session and Service Continuity
SSE	Smart Safety and Efficiency
SST	Slice/Service Type
SVM	Support Vector Machine
TNL	Transport Network Layer
TNLA	Transport Network Layer Association
TSP	Traffic Steering Policy





#### List of Acronyms

UDM	Unified Data Management
UDR	Unified Data Repository
UML	Unsupervised Machine Learning
UL	Uplink
UPF	User Plane Function
V2X	Vehicle-to-everything
VANET	Vehicular Ad hoc Network
VID	VLAN Identifier
VLAN	Virtual Local Area Network
VM	Virtual Machine
WAT	Wireless Access Technologies
WSN	Wireless Sensor Network





Backup slides





## Networking Servicing terms

- Service A SW piece performing one or more functions and providing one or more APIs to apps. or other services of the same or different layers Services can be combined with other services
- Service Instance An instance of an EU service or a business service that is realized within or by a network slice
  - Services and service instances would be provided by the network operator or by third parties
- Administrative domain (AD) A collection of systems and networks operated by a single organization or administrative authority
- Infrastructure domain an admin. domain
  - providing virtualised infrastructure resources (compute, network, storage)
  - or a composition of resources via a service abstraction to another AD responsible for the management and orchestration of those resources

See:. L. Geng , et.al., IETF- "Network Slicing Architecture draft-geng-netslices-architecture-02", 2017 ETSI GS NFV 003 V1.3.1 (2018-01) Network Functions Virtualisation (NFV); Terminology for Main Concepts in NFV





## Networking Servicing terms

- Tenant: one or more service users sharing access to a set of physical, virtual resources or service resources (e.g. offered by NFV-MANO framework)
- Multi-tenancy: feature where physical, virtual or service resources are allocated so that multiple tenants and their computations and data are isolated from and inaccessible by each another
- Tenant domain: domain that provides VNFs, and combinations of VNFs into Network Services, and is responsible for their management and orchestration, including their functional configuration and maintenance at application level
- **Trust domain:** collection of entities that share a set of security policies

See:. L. Geng , et.a;., IETF- "Network Slicing Architecture draft-geng-netslices-architecture-02", 2017 ETSI GS NFV 003 V1.3.1 (2018-01) Network Functions Virtualisation (NFV); Terminology for Main Concepts in NFV





- Network Resources
  - Resource P/V (network, compute, storage) component available within a system (can be very simple or comprised of multiple other resources)
  - Logical Resource An independently manageable partition of a Physical (P) resource, inheriting the same characteristics as the P resource
  - Virtual Resource An abstraction of a P/L resource, maybe with different characteristics and extended capabilities w.r.t the original
  - Network Function (NF) A processing function in a network, including but not limited to network nodes functionality
    - NFs implementation: as a network node on a dedicated HW, or as VNFs
  - Virtual Network Function (VNF) A NF whose functional SW is decoupled from HW
    - It is implemented by one or more virtual machines (VM)
  - Network Element (NE) a manageable logical entity uniting one or more network devices. This allows distributed devices to be managed in a unified way using one management system





- Network Slicing Terms
  - Resource Slice A grouping of P/V (network, compute, storage) resources; it can be a component of a NS, but on its own does not represent fully a NS
  - Network Slice (NS)
    - a managed group of subsets of resources, NFs/VNFs at the DPI, CPI, M/OPI, and services at a given time
    - programmable and having the ability to expose its capabilities
    - the NS behaviour is realized via network slice instance(s)
  - End-to-end NS (E2E-NS) A cross-domain NS
    - it may consist of access (fixed or cellular), transport (mobile) core networks and etc. It can be customized according to the requirements of network slice tenants
  - Network Slice Instance (NSI) An activated NS, created based on network template
    - It corresponds to a set of managed run-time NFs and resources to run them, forming a complete instantiated logical network to meet the reqs. of the service instance(s).
    - May be shared across multiple service instances provided by the NO





- Network Slicing Terms
  - Network Slice Provider (NSP) typically is a telecom SrvP; is the owner or tenant of the network infrastructures (NI) from which network slices are created
    - The NSP manages and orchestrates the resources supporting NS
  - Network Slice Terminal (NST) A terminal NS-aware, typically subscribed to the service hosted by NSI.
    - It may subscribe to several NSIs simultaneously
  - Network Slice Tenant (NSTn) is the user of specific NSIs, who offer specific services to end customers
    - The operators' customers (e.g.,customers from vertical industries) or the operators themselves. They utilize the NSIs to provide services to their end users
    - Tenants may have independent O&M reqs., uniquely applicable to the NSIs
    - NSTn-s can request the creation of new NSI
    - The NSP usually grants some management capability to NSTn





## Network Slicing Terms

- Network Slice Repository (NSRp)- in each domain; it consists of a list of active NSes with their identifiers and description
  - This description defines also the access rules to a slice
  - NSRp is updated by slice orchestrator
  - If recursive slicing, then NSRp keeps info about all slices that compose a higher level slice but such a slice has its own identifier and descriptors

## BS2: ETSI and 3GPP functional architectures for slicing support



- Network Function Virtualization ETSI- summary
- Reference architecture



EM Element Manager MANO Management and Orchestration NFV NF Virtualization NFVI NF Virtualization Infrastructure NS Network Service OSS Operations Support System VNF Virtual Network Function VIM Virtual Infrastructure Manager

Source:ETSI GS NFV 002 v1.2.1 2014-12, NFV Architectural Framework

## **BS2: ETSI and 3GPP functional architectures** for slicing support



- Network Function Virtualisation ETSI- summary
- High level view of NFV framework
- Working domains composed of
  - **VNF** -SW implementation of a NF which is running over the NFVI
  - **NFV Infrastructure (NFVI)**, including the diversity of physical resources and virtualisation tools
    - NFVI supports the execution of the VNFs
    - The Virtualisation Layer (VL) abstracts the HW resources and decouples the VNF software from the underlying hardware, thus ensuring a HW independent lifecycle for the VNFs
  - NFV Management and Orchestration (NFV-MANO)
    - orchestration and lifecycle management (LCM) of physical and/or SW resources that support the infrastructure virtualisation, and the VNFs lifecycle management
    - NFV MANO focuses on management of all virtualisation-specific tasks

See: ETSI GS NFV 002 v1.2.1 2014-12, NFV Architectural Framework

## BS2: ETSI and 3GPP functional architectures for slicing support



- Network Function Virtualisation ETSI- summary (cont'd)
- NFV Management and Orchestration Architectural Framework (NFV-MANO Architectural Framework):
  - collection of all FBs (those in NFV-MANO and others interworking with NFV-MANO), data repositories used by these FBs , and RPs and interfaces for the purpose of managing and orchestrating NFV
- Network Functions Virtualisation Orchestrator (NFVO):
  - FB that manages the **Network Service (NSrv)** lifecycle and coordinates
    - the management of NSrv lifecycle,
    - VNF lifecycle (supported by the VNFM) and
    - NFVI resources (supported by the VIM)
  - to ensure an optimized allocation of the necessary resources and connectivity

See: ETSI GS NFV 002 v1.2.1 2014-12, NFV Architectural Framework

# BS2: ETSI and 3GPP functional architectures for slicing support





Source: 3GPP TR28.801 V15.1.0 (2018-01), Study on management and orchestration of network slicing for next generation network, (Release 15)





## SDN and NFV

- they can be developed independently
- however they are complementary and can efficiently cooperate



NexComm 2019, Valencia, 24-28 March 2019